



ISDI



**LINCOLN SENIOR
DEBT INDEX™**

Important Disclosure

The Lincoln Senior Debt Index™ is an informational indicator only and does not constitute investment advice, an offer to sell or a solicitation to buy any security. It is not possible to directly invest in the Lincoln Senior Debt Index. Some of the statements below contain opinions based upon certain assumptions regarding the data used to create the Lincoln Senior Debt Index, and these opinions and assumptions may prove incorrect. Actual results could vary materially from those implied or expressed in such statements for any reason. The Lincoln Senior Debt Index has been created on the basis of information provided by third-party sources that are believed to be reliable, but Lincoln International has not conducted an independent verification of such information. Lincoln International makes no warranty or representation as to the accuracy or completeness of such third-party information.

The LSDI should not be construed as an offer to sell or buy, or a solicitation to sell or buy, any products linked to the performance of the LSDI. The use of the LSDI in any manner, including for benchmarking purposes, is not endorsed or recommended by Lincoln International and Lincoln International is not responsible for any use made of the LSDI. Lincoln International does not guarantee the accuracy and / or completeness of the LSDI, and Lincoln International shall not have any liability for any errors or omissions therein. None of Lincoln International, any of its affiliates or subsidiaries, nor any of its directors, officers, employees, representatives, delegates or agents shall have any responsibility to any person (whether as a result of negligence or otherwise) for any determination made or anything done (or omitted to be determined or done) in respect of the LSDI and any use to which any person may put the LSDI. Lincoln International has no obligation to update the LSDI and has no obligation to investors with respect to any product based on the performance of the LSDI. Any investment in such a product will not acquire an interest in the LSDI. Lincoln International is not an investment adviser and will not provide any financial advice relating to a product linked to the performance of the LSDI. Investors should read any such product offering documentation and consult with their own legal, financial and tax advisors before investing in any such product.

© 2025 Lincoln Partners Advisors LLC. All rights reserved. LINCOLN SENIOR DEBT INDEX and LINCOLN INTERNATIONAL are service marks owned by Lincoln Partners Advisors LLC and its affiliated entities. Any use of these service marks and these materials, including the reproduction, modification, distribution or republication of these materials, without the prior written consent of Lincoln International, is strictly prohibited.



INTRODUCING: The Lincoln Senior Debt Index

Lincoln International is pleased to release the Q2 2025 Lincoln U.S. Senior Debt Index (LSDI). The Lincoln U.S. Senior Debt Index represents years of research and analysis of data and was developed by professionals from Lincoln's Valuations & Opinions Group in collaboration with Professor Pietro Veronesi of The University of Chicago Booth School of Business.

Despite a challenging start to the quarter brought on by "Liberation Day," LSDI results were generally consistent with the trends and observations experienced since the second quarter of 2022. Enterprise value declines that began in February and continued through April dramatically reversed after a deferral of tariffs announced on Liberation Day. The yield on the LSDI ended the quarter at 10.14%, reflecting a 0.34% decrease compared to the prior quarter as spreads tightened and secured overnight financing rate (SOFR) rates declined. Spread widening observed late in the first quarter also reversed, with spreads ending the second quarter 0.10% lower than the first quarter. Spreads remained at a level well below the LSDI's historic average as a result of competitive factors. Heightened competition and resilient portfolio company performance resulted in an increase in the average fair value of loans in the LSDI from 98.68% to 98.90%, marking the second highest fair value in LSDI history and highest since the first quarter of 2017. Covenant defaults increased for the third straight quarter, going from 2.9% to 3.4%, but remain below the seven-year average of 4.4%.

While results for the quarter were positive overall, the macroeconomic impact of higher tariffs has caused heightened global market volatility, and therefore the outlook going forward remains uncertain. Lincoln's Valuations and Opinions Group has been monitoring recent events and their impact on the private markets in real time. We encourage readers to contact any Lincoln valuation professional for continued updates on the current state of the private markets.

The LSDI provides insight into the direct lending market as it is a fair value index consisting of four components:

1. Total return
(income return plus capital gain return);
2. Price (i.e., fair value);
3. Spread; and,
4. Yield to maturity.

Each of the four components is then categorized into three types of senior loans:

1. All senior loans—consisting of first lien, Unitranche, and second lien loans;
2. Senior loans consisting of first lien and Unitranche loans; and,
3. Second lien loans.

In addition, we provide additional descriptive statistics including: (a) loan-to-value; (b) the impact of interest rate (SOFR) and credit changes (spread) on total return; (c) default rates; and (d) historical yields by EBITDA size and by industry.

The U.S. non-investment grade corporate loan market has two segments: the BSL market, which attracts investors investing in broadly syndicated deals, and the direct lending market for investors investing in club deals. While correlated, there are subtle but significant differences between the two markets. Both markets primarily provide floating rate loans; however, divergences exist in terms of market liquidity, company size and credit facility size. Given the greater liquidity in the BSL market, pricing and terms are a function of the technical market and competitive factors, whereas the more illiquid direct lending market has a stronger orientation to assessing company fundamentals.

In contrast to the Morningstar LSTA U.S. Leveraged Loan 100 Index (Morningstar LSTA) which is comprised of companies borrowing in the BSL market, the constituents in the Senior Debt Index are virtually all companies borrowing in the direct lending market.

The direct lending market is a significant source of capital to private equity-backed middlemarket companies. The Lincoln U.S. Senior Debt Index benefits market participants by providing information to facilitate a greater understanding of the attributes of this important source of capital to the private sector.

KEY OBSERVATIONS: Lincoln Senior Debt Index

Q2

2025

How We Obtain the Information

On a quarterly basis, Lincoln values more than 6,250 private companies primarily owned by more than 225 alternative investment funds and lenders to funds. Most of these companies are levered via borrowings from the direct lending market. A significant percentage of the Senior Debt Index constituents are based upon valuations of loans provided for non-public BDCs and other private investment vehicles and, therefore, not disclosed in public filings.

For many of the private companies valued quarterly, Lincoln advises on the fair value of at least one senior debt security in the capital structure. All valuations conform with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and fair value principles and have been reviewed by fund management, fund boards, limited partners and auditors.

Additional information can be found in our methodology discussion and on our website.

98.9

Average Fair Value of
SDI for the Quarter
Ending June 30, 2025

99.0

Estimated Average
Fair Value of SDI for
the Month Ending
July 31, 2025

LSDI – Key Observations – All Loans – Q2 2025

- The LSDI returned 2.3% for the quarter ending June 30, 2025, an increase of just 0.1% relative to the return in the first quarter of 2025, as the marginal increase in fair value was greater in the current quarter than it was in Q1. The quarterly return continued to approximate the lowest return since Q4 2022.
 - Returns consist of two components: (a) interest income and (b) capital gains or losses. Total quarterly returns from interest were 1.30% while the total return from capital gains were 1.03%.
- The 2.4% gap between the yields derived from the LSDI and Morningstar LSTA in the second quarter of 2025 continued to be lower than the historical average of 3.6%, as competition to deploy capital has remained high, reducing the return differential between the two markets.
- LSDI prices increased 0.21 to 98.90 but lagged BSL prices, which increased 1.22 to 98.71. While the increase in the LSDI was modest compared to the increase in the BSL, the LSDI did not experience the same losses that the BSL did in Q1, highlighting the stability and lower volatility in the LSDI compared to BSL.




RESULTS:

A. Total Return

Q2

2025

Table 1: Comparison of Total Return – LSDI (All Loans) to Morningstar LSTA U.S. Leveraged Loan 100 Index



Observations:

- Investment return is generated from two sources: (1) capital gains and losses and (2) income return. In the leverage lending asset class, income returns dominate capital gains or losses, resulting in a positive quarterly total return.
- Since the inception of the Senior Debt Index, both the Morningstar LSTA, which measures the performance of the BSL market, and the direct lending market have experienced an increase in total quarterly return. BSL returns for the quarter ending June 30, 2025, were 2.8% versus the LSDI of 2.3%. On average, returns for the LSDI have been higher than those for the BSL as the average quarterly returns since inception of the Senior Debt Index have been 1.9% for the LSDI versus 1.2% for the BSL.
- Given the continuing high level of interest rates, Lincoln expects returns to remain high by historical standards.

RESULTS:

A. Total Return (cont.)

Q2

2025

Table 2: Correlation and Comparison of Quarterly Returns – LSDI (All Loans) to BSL Market



Observations:


- The correlation between the LSDI and Morningstar LSTA is high at approximately 80.3%. However, there are three distinct differences:
 - the standard deviation of returns for the life of the LSDI was 1.1% versus 2.7% for the Morningstar LSTA over the same time period;
 - the Senior Debt Index is significantly less volatile; and
 - the LSDI generates higher returns.
- The direct lending market experiences negative returns much less frequently than the BSL market, given its higher yields and lower standard deviation.
 - Since the inception of the Senior Debt Index on September 30, 2014 through June 30, 2025 only the quarter ending March 31, 2020 reported a negative quarterly return, whereas the BSL market has experienced 8 quarters of negative returns.

RESULTS: B. Yields

Q2

2025

Table 3: Comparison of Yields – LSDI (All Loans) to BSL Market



Observations:

- The yield of the Lincoln U.S. Senior Debt Index was 10.1% for the quarter ending June 30, 2025, which continued to be higher than the average yield of the SDI of 9.9% given the current interest rate environment.
- SOFR rates decreased for the second straight quarter¹, falling 23 basis points and contributing to a decrease in the LSDI yield from 10.48% to 10.14%. In contrast, the yield for the BSL market was 7.77%, a 22 basis point decrease over the quarter.

¹ LIBOR/SOFR in the current analysis reflects the 4-year end of quarter swap rate.

RESULTS: B. Yields (cont.)

Q2

2025

Table 4: Comparison of Yields – LSDI (All Loans) by Size



Observations:

- In keeping with classic corporate finance theory, Lincoln's observations of yields within the index demonstrate that yields for small companies, as defined as companies having EBITDA values of less than \$30 million, tend to be higher than yields for large companies, as defined as businesses having EBITDA values of greater than \$100 million.


RESULTS:

C. Default Rates

Q2

2025

Table 5: Direct Lending Default Experience



Note: Defaults defined as loan covenant defaults (not monetary defaults)

Observations:

- The first half of 2025 saw a continued increase in default rates, with the observed instance of default increasing 100 basis points from 2.4% in December 2024 to 3.4% in June 2025. Quarter over quarter, default rates increased 50 basis points. While default rates remain low, June 2025 was the third consecutive quarterly increase after six straight quarters of decline starting in March 2023. Nevertheless, the low rate of defaults compared to the historic average demonstrates lenders' ability to anticipate upcoming defaults within their portfolio companies and react accordingly.


RESULTS:

D. Decomposing Yields in the Direct Lending Market

Q2

2025

Table 6: Decomposing Yield – LIBOR / SOFR, LIBOR / SOFR Floors, and Spreads – All Loans



Note: LIBOR / SOFR Floor reflects fair value weighted average for each period while LIBOR / SOFR above reflects the extent to which LIBOR / SOFR is above the floor. LIBOR / SOFR in the current analysis reflects the 4-year end of quarter swap rate.

Observations:

- The average yield of the Lincoln U.S. Senior Debt Index since inception has been approximately 9.9%.
- From 2016 until the quarter ending June 30, 2022, yields in the direct lending market remained in a band between 8.0% and 10.0%. However, as reference rates began to increase in Q2 2022 and Q3 2022, yields also increased and have exceeded 10.0% since Q2 2022.
- Yields fell over the past quarter as SOFR rates and spreads declined. SOFR rates have hovered between 3.24% and 4.12% over the past year, which is well above the approximately 2.30% average SOFR rate between 2016 and Q2 2025.
- Spreads decreased over the prior quarter and remained at a level well below the peak in Q1 2023 given the increased competition seen within the direct lending market.


RESULTS:

E. Fair Value – Price – Trends

Q2

2025

Table 7: Fair Value – Lincoln U.S. Senior Debt Index (All Loans) Compared to the S&P / LSTA U.S. Leveraged Loan Index



Note: Price based on fair value of the LSDI and average bid of the Morningstar LSTA U.S. Leveraged Loan Index

Observations:

- The Senior Debt Index was 98.90 as of June 30, 2025, up 0.21 compared to the prior quarter's price.
- The fair value of 98.90 was the second highest since the inception of the LSDI in 2016, only topped by the price of 99.20 in Q1 2018. The index continued to exceed the historical average price of 97.8. In contrast, the index saw its lowest point in Q1 2020 at 92.7 (i.e., COVID).
- There are several reasons for the phenomenon whereby loans in the direct lending market do not experience the same price volatility as observed in the BSL market:
 - Loans in the direct lending market trade much less frequently than loans in the BSL market.
 - Investors in the BSL market have a greater ability to liquidate their investment should they decide to exit, as trading is a viable option. In contrast, investments in direct lending funds are structured whereby investor redemptions are limited. Therefore, capital flows are not as volatile as the BSL market.


RESULTS:

F. Bifurcation of the Impact on Total Return Due to Credit Risk and Interest Rate Risk

Q2

2025

Table 8: Decomposition of Index Returns: Interest Rate versus Credit Risk



Observations:

- The LSDI returned 2.33% for the second quarter of 2025 versus 2.24% in the first quarter of 2025.
- Decomposing the quarterly return of 2.33%, changes in credit risk accounted for 1.03% of the returns, and interest rate risk accounted for 1.30% of the return. The primary driver of the increase in quarterly return relative to Q1 2025 is the lower credit risk associated with the loans comprising the LSDI as fair value increased.

METHODOLOGY:

Source of Data and Sample Size

Q2

2025

Source of Data and Sample Size

On a quarterly basis, Lincoln determines the enterprise fair value of more than 6,250 portfolio companies for more than 225 private equity sponsors and lenders. These portfolio companies report quarterly financial results to the sponsor (i.e., private equity group) or lender. Lincoln obtains company and loan level information to create the Senior Debt Index.

All information is prepared in accordance with the fair value measurement principles of generally accepted accounting principles. Finally, each valuation is then vetted by auditors, company management, boards of directors and regulators.

Additional information about the methodology of the Senior Debt Index can be found at:

www.lincolnternational.com/perspectives/an-overview-of-the-lincoln-senior-debt-index.

Academic Advisor

Professor Pietro Veronesi is the Chicago Board of Trade Professor of Finance at the University of Chicago, Booth School of Business. He is also a research associate of the National Bureau of Economic Research and a research fellow of the Center for Economic and Policy Research.

Professor Veronesi's research has appeared in numerous publications, including the *Journal of Political Economy*, *American Economic Review*, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *Journal of Finance*, *Journal of Financial Economics* and *Review of Financial Studies*. He is the recipient of several awards, including the 2015 AQR Insight award, the 2012 and 2003 Smith Breeden prizes from the Journal of Finance; the 2008 WFA award; the 2006 Barclays Global Investors Prize from the EFA; the 2006 Fama / DFA prizes from the Journal of Financial Economics and the 1999 Barclays Global Investors / Michael Brennan First Prize from the Review of Financial Studies. Professor Veronesi teaches both masters- and Ph.D.-level courses. He is the recipient of the 2009 McKinsey Award for Excellence in Teaching.

His undergraduate work was in economics at Bocconi University where he received a laurea magna cum laude with honor in 1992. He earned a master's degree with distinction in 1993 from the London School of Economics. He joined the Chicago Booth faculty upon obtaining his Ph.D. in economics from Harvard University in 1997.

SUMMARY:

Q2 2025 Lincoln Senior Debt Index

- From 2014 through June 30, 2025, a portfolio of direct lending loans has yielded higher returns and lower volatility relative to broadly syndicated loans.
- The Lincoln U.S. Senior Debt Index provides market participants many unique valuation insights into the fair value of direct lending loans and represents a significant enhancement to the information available within an opaque market.

ABOUT LINCOLN INTERNATIONAL

We are trusted investment banking advisors to business owners and senior executives of leading private equity firms and their portfolio companies and to public and privately held companies around the world. Our services include mergers and acquisitions advisory, private funds and capital markets advisory, and valuations and fairness opinions. As one tightly integrated team of over 1,000 professionals in more than 25 offices across 16 countries, we offer an unobstructed perspective on the global private capital markets, backed by superb execution and a deep commitment to client success. With extensive industry knowledge and relationships, timely market intelligence and strategic insights, we forge deep, productive client relationships that endure for decades. Connect with us to learn more at www.lincolnternational.com.

Lincoln's Valuations & Opinions Group is a leading independent valuation advisor to managers of illiquid assets and lenders to alternative assets funds. The group specializes in the valuation of illiquid debt, equity and derivative securities. Additionally, they provide independent fairness, solvency and other transaction opinions for a variety of corporate transactions for both public and private companies.

The firm's Valuations & Opinions Group is widely recognized for leveraging Lincoln's "real world" transaction experience from its mergers and acquisitions and debt advisory practices to assist its clients in the determination of fair value. Lincoln's highly skilled professionals have extensive experience in determining and supporting fair value measurements for traditional and complex securities.



GLOBAL INDUSTRY GROUPS

Business Services
Consumer
Energy Transition, Power & Infrastructure
Financial Institutions
Healthcare
Industrials
Technology

ADVISORY SERVICES

Mergers & Acquisitions
Capital Advisory
Private Funds Advisory
Valuations & Opinions